1 Jason Barrat; AZ Bar No. 029086 WEILER LAW PLLC 2 5050 N.40th St., Suite 260 Phoenix, AZ 85018 3 Tel & Fax: 480.442.3410 4 ibarrat@weilerlaw.com www.weilerlaw.com 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 10 Heather Deyoung, an Arizona resident; Case No. 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. **VERIFIED COMPLAINT** 13 Magnus Title Agency, LLC, an Arizona 14 company; and Cobra Collier, an Arizona 15 resident; 16 Defendants. (Jury Trial Requested) 17 18 Plaintiff Heather Deyoung ("Plaintiff"), for her Verified Complaint against 19 Defendants Magnus Title Agency, LLC ("Magnus"); and Cobra Collier ("Defendants"), 20 hereby alleges as follows: 21 **NATURE OF THE CASE** 22 23 1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendants for their unlawful failure to 24 pay overtime in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219 25 (hereinafter "FLSA"). 26 2. This action is also brought to recover overtime compensation, liquidated or 27

double damages, and statutory penalties resulting from Defendants' violations of the

5050 N.40th St., Suite 260, Phoenix, AZ 85018 Tel & Fax: 480.442.3410 - info@weilerlaw.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FLSA.

JURISDICTION	AND	VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties hereto pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because all or a substantial part of the acts or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in the state of Arizona.
 - 5. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants in this District.

PARTIES

- 6. At all relevant times to the matters alleged herein, Plaintiff Heather Deyoung resided in the District of Arizona.
- 7. At all relevant times to the matters alleged herein, Plaintiff Heather Deyoung was a full-time employee of Defendants from on or around June 1, 2022, until on or around February 17, 2023 ("all relevant times").
- 8. At all relevant times to the matters alleged herein, Plaintiff Heather Deyoung was an employee of Defendants as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).
- 9. At all relevant times to the matters alleged herein, Plaintiff Heather Deyoung was a non-exempt employee.
 - 10. Defendant Magnus is a company authorized to do business in Arizona.
- 11. Defendant Magnus was Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
 - Defendant Cobra Collier is an Arizona resident. 12.
 - 13. Defendant Cobra Collier has directly caused events to take place giving rise

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	

27

28

to this action.

- 14. At all relevant times, Defendant Cobra Collier was an owner of Magnus.
- 15. At all relevant times, Defendant Cobra Collier was an employer of Magnus.
- 16. At all relevant times, Defendant Cobra Collier was a manager of Magnus.
- 17. Defendant Cobra Collier has been at all relevant times Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 18. Defendant Cobra Collier supervised and controlled Plaintiff's work schedules or the conditions of Plaintiff's employment.
- 19. Defendant Cobra Collier would call into the office monthly to check in on business operations.
- 20. As a person who acted in the interest of the previously identified corporate entity in relation to the company's employees, Defendant Cobra Collier is subject to individual and personal liability under the FLSA.
- 21. Plaintiff is further informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and authorized the acts of all other Defendants, as alleged herein.
- 22. Defendants, and each of them, are sued in both their individual and corporate capacities.
- 23. Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.
- 24. Upon reasonable belief, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, was employed by an enterprise engaged in commerce that had annual gross sales of at least \$500,000 in 2022.

	25.	Upon reasonable belief, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, was employed
by an	enterp	rise engaged in commerce that has or will have annual gross sales of at least
\$500,	000 in 2	2023.

- 26. At all relevant times, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce.
- 27. At all relevant times, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, was engaged in interstate commerce.
- 28. Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, regularly handled goods produced and transported in interstate commerce.
- 29. Plaintiff used text messages, telephone, and emails to communicate with Defendants.
 - 30. Plaintiff is a covered employee under individual coverage.
 - 31. Plaintiff is a covered employee under enterprise coverage.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 32. The entity Defendant is a tile and escrow company.
- 33. On or around June 1, 2022, Plaintiff Heather Deyoung commenced employment with Defendants as assistant escrow officer.
- 34. Plaintiff's primary job duties included opening the office every morning, answering phones, preparing settlement statements, preparing loan documents, greeting clients, balancing titles, and cutting checks.
 - 35. Plaintiff was paid \$27.88 an hour.
 - 36. Plaintiff Heather Deyoung routinely worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
 - 37. Defendants did not have Plaintiff clock in or out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 2.23-cv-00394-Simin Document 1 Filed 03/07/23 Page 5 01 8
38. Plaintiff was told she would not be paid any wages for anything over 40 hours
a week and that bonuses covered overtime wages.
39. Plaintiff estimates that she worked around 47.5 to 50 hours a week.
40. Plaintiff Heather Deyoung was not provided with the required one and one
half times pay premium as required by the FLSA for all her worked overtime hours.
41. For example, during the workweek of September 26, 2022, Plaintiff worked
annrovimately 50 hours

- ed
- 42. At all relevant times during Plaintiff's employment, Defendants failed to properly compensate Plaintiff for all her overtime hours.
- Defendants were aware that Plaintiff's working hours routinely exceeded 40 43. hours.
- 44. Defendants required Plaintiff to work overtime as a condition of her employment.
- 45. Defendants wrongfully withheld wages from Plaintiff by failing to pay all wages due for overtime hours Plaintiff worked.
- 46. Defendants refused and/or failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of her rights under the FLSA.
- 47. Defendants failed to post and keep posted in a conspicuous place the required poster / notice explaining her employee rights under the FLSA pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 516.4.
 - 48. Defendants have not kept proper records in violation of 29 C.F.R. § 516.2.
- 49. Defendants' failure and/or refusal to compensate Plaintiff at the rates and amounts required by the FLSA were willful.

5050 N.40th St., Suite 260, Phoenix, AZ 85018 Tel & Fax: 480.442.3410 - info@weilerlaw.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT I (FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME WAGES – FLSA – 29 U.S.C. § 207)

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the 51. meaning of the FLSA.
 - 52. Plaintiff is an employee entitled to the statutorily mandated overtime wages.
- 53. Defendants have intentionally failed and/or refused to pay Plaintiff's overtime wages according to the provisions of the FLSA.
- 54. As a direct result of Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff has suffered damages by not receiving compensation in accordance with 29 U.S.C.§ 207.
- 55. In addition to the amount of unpaid overtime wages owed to Plaintiff, she is entitled to recover an additional equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 56. Defendants' actions in failing to compensate Plaintiff, in violation of the FLSA, were willful.
- 57. Defendants knew Plaintiff was not being compensated overtime for time worked in excess of 40 hours in a given workweek and failed to pay proper overtime wages.
- 58. Defendants knew their failure to pay overtime wages was a violation of the FLSA.
 - 59. Defendants have not made a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA.
- 60. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and other statutory damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

18	Com
85(W. 6
AZ	erla
ï,	vei]
oen	œ e
Ph	inf
5050 N.40th St., Suite 260, Phoenix, AZ 85018	el & Fax: 480.442.3410 – info@weilerlaw.com
ite	341
Su	42.
St.,	80.4
0th	4
Z. 4.	Fay
50	8
20	ပ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CONCLUSION A	ND PRAYER	FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- A. For the Court to declare and find that the Defendants committed the following acts:
 - i. violated overtime wage provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by failing to pay overtime;
 - ii. willfully violated overtime wage provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by failing to pay overtime;
- В. For the Court to award compensatory damages, including liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to be determined at trial;
- C. For the Court to award interest on all wage compensation due accruing from the date such amounts were due under all causes of action set forth herein;
- D. For the Court to award such other monetary, injunctive, equitable, and declaratory relief as the Court deems just and proper;
- E. For the Court to award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and all other causes of action set forth herein;
- F. Any other remedies or judgments deemed just and equitable by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED March 7, 2023.

WEILER LAW PLLC

By: /s/ Jason Barrat 5050 N. 40th St., Suite 260 Phoenix, AZ 85018 Attorneys for Plaintiff

8050 N.40th St., Suite 260, Phoenix, AZ 85018 el & Fax: 480.442.3410 – info@weilerlaw.co

VERIFICATION

Plaintiff Heather Deyoung declares under penalty of perjury that she has read the foregoing Verified Complaint and is familiar with the contents thereof. The matters asserted therein are true and based on her personal knowledge, except as to those matters stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters, she believes them to be true.

Heather Deyoung